SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/482,653	1/13/00	Wells et al.	

EXAMINER
C. Cooley

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1723	16

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) C. Cooley (PTO)

(2) Conrad Clark (App. Rep.)

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of interview **6 May 2003**

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Models of container

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 22 - 37

Identification of prior art discussed: Raccuglia et al., McFarland, Crippa, Onishi

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The system claim 28 may be amended to include language regarding the element that holds container in the positions. The container claims may be revised to include limitations drawn to the access ports and may include self sealing or maintaining sterility. App. rep. may file an

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

RCE and new claims will be considered.

Examiner's Signature _Charles Cooley_

PTOL-413 (REV. 2-93)

ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER